



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
08/793,416	10/23/1997	JOHN THOMAS HARE	18872.0056	5267		
25312	7590 02/24/2003					
	WILSONART INTERNATIONAL INC			EXAMINER		
C/O WELSH & FLAXMAN, LLC 2341 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY		•	BEHREND, HARVEY E			
SUITE 112 ARLINGTON, VA 22202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,		3641			
			DATE MAILED: 02/24/2003	DATE MAILED: 02/24/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No. 08/7934/6	Applicant(s)	e et al	2	
Office Action Summary	Examiner Behrene	<u> </u>	Group Art Unit		
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet be	eneath the corr	espondence ac	dress-	
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO DF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE ON	MONTH(S) F	ROM THE MAII	LING DATE	
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, ex</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute</li> </ul>	within the statutory minimitation (pire SIX (6) MONTHS from	um of thirty (30) day	rs will be considere	ed timely. on .	
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19/17/0	2				
☐ This action is FINAL.					
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935	or formal matters, <b>pros</b> e C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213	ecution as to th	e merits is clo	sed in	
Disposition of Claims					
XClaim(s) 20 - 38	is/are per				
Of the above claim(s)	is/are wit				
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.				
□ Claim(s)	· .	is/are reje	ected.		
□ Claim(s) 20 - 38	are subject to restriction or election				
		requirem	ent.		
Application Papers	Daview DTO 040				
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	meview, P1O-948. is □ approved	□ disapproved			
<ul> <li>☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed onis/are objecte</li> </ul>		□ disappioved.			
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	0 to 5, the 2,44,				
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)					
<ul> <li>□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority und</li> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of th</li> <li>□ received.</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>□ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)</li> <li>□ received in this national stage application from the International</li> </ul>			<del></del> •		
*Certified copies not received:			•		
Attachment(s)					
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No.	(s) 🗆 II	nterview Summa	ry, PTO-413		
			Patent Applica	tion, PTO-1	52
• •					

**Office Action Summary** 

Application/Control Number: 08/793,416

Art Unit: 3641

1. This application was filed under 35 U.S.C. 371.

There is lack of unity under PCT Rule 13 because there is no "special technical feature" common to all the Groups which defines the contribution which each of the inventions makes over the prior art. In the present case, there is no common "special technical feature" because the general inventive concept set forth for example in claims such as claim 20, do not define over the teachings of the prior art set forth in the 11/10/98 IDS (for example, British 849655 or EP 0147147) or in any of Sedlak et al, Breton et al or Weinberger.

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 20-37, drawn to an invention, classified in class 252, subclass 478.
  - Claim 38, drawn to an invention, classified in class 376, subclass 260 or class 264, subclass 310.
- If either invention I or II is elected, applicant is further required under 35 U.S.C.
   to elect one of the following disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.
  - A. The embodiment of Fig. 1.
  - B. The embodiment of Fig. 2.
  - C. The embodiment of Fig. 3.
  - D. The embodiment of Fig. 4.
  - E. The embodiment of Fig. 5.
  - F. The embodiment of Fig. 7.

Application/Control Number: 08/793,416

Art Unit: 3641

4. <u>Upon election of one of the inventions identified above as I – IV</u>, applicant is further required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single specie of the outer polymeric material, for purposes of examination.

This additional requirement is to facilitate examining due to the diverse materials disclosed as suitable (e.g. see the specification on page 10).

5. Applicant is advised that a reply to the election of species requirements, must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with the requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species, MPEP 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 3641

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Harvey Behrend whose telephone number is (703) 305-1831. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Carone, can be reached on (703) 306-4198. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 306-4195.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Behrend/kl February 3, 2003 HARVEY E. BEHREND PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 4